

Research Article

STUDY OF HYPERTENSION IN RURAL POPULATION OF DHULE DISTRICT

Kakaria Ashish,^{1*} Agrawal Sanjay,² Bhavsar Abhijit,³ Nukte Vivek⁴
Bhavana K.⁵

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, SBHGMC, Dhule, Maharashtra, India.

²Associate Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, SBHGMC, Dhule, Maharashtra, India.

³Assistant Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, SBHGMC, Dhule, Maharashtra, India.

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, SBHGMC, Dhule, Maharashtra, India.

⁵Assistant Professor, Department of obstetrics and gynecology, SBHGMC, Dhule, Maharashtra, India.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Ashish S. Kakaria (Assistant Professor, Department of Medicine), SBH Government Medical College, Dhule, Maharashtra (India), Phone No: +919422685735; +917744085735

Abstract :

Background: Recent studies have reported an increasing prevalence of hypertension in India. However, there are few epidemiological data with regard to the occurrence of hypertension in the native population of Maharashtra. **Methods:** Three thousand one hundred and eighty individuals (1441 men and 1739 women), ³ 30 years of age and from villages of Dhule district in Maharashtra were selected by the stratified random sampling method. They were interviewed and their blood pressure measured along with other anthropometric variables. Hypertension was defined according to the Sixth Report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood pressure (JNC-VI). **Results:** The overall prevalence of hypertension was 33.3%. Among the patients with hypertension, 21.6% were aware of their illness. The determinants of hypertension were age, intake of extra salt in the diet, body mass index and waist-hip ratio (derived by multiple logistic regression analysis with backward elimination of non-significant factors). Multivariate analysis showed that females had a higher risk of hypertension than males. **Conclusion:** The prevalence of hypertension in the native population of Dhule district is high. Implementation of an effective awareness programme with lifestyle modifications is necessary to control the cardiovascular disease burden in this population.

Keywords: Hypertension, Dhule district, Rural population

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is a major cause of morbidity in developing countries which are in a state of epidemiological transition.¹ Hypertension, physical inactivity, increased level of blood lipids, obesity and faulty dietary habits are the primary risk factors for cardiovascular morbidity.² Hypertension has been shown to be a major risk factor not only for cerebrovascular morbidity and mortality but also for cognitive impairment and dementia.³ A high prevalence of hypertension in both rural and urban areas of India has been reported in recent studies.^{4,5}

Maharashtra, has diverse ethnic groups with distinct cultures.⁶ In the past few decades, the traditional dietary pattern of the native people of Maharashtra has changed and their level of physical activity has decreased. There are little epidemiological data to identify the factors responsible for cardiovascular disease in the native population of Maharashtra. We studied the prevalence of hypertension and the risk factors associated with it in the native rural population of Maharashtra.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study area and study population

Out of 178 villages in Dhule district in Maharashtra, five villages were selected on the basis of their geographic location and ethnic distribution. The villages in Dhule district in Maharashtra were listed and stratified according to the size of the population. After stratification, villages were selected by the simple random technique; The sample size was determined on the basis of a prevalence of 11.8% determined in a pilot study (The JNC-V criteria were used for diagnosing hypertension in the pilot study). A household list was collected from the revenue department and the households were selected by systematic sampling. Individuals >30 years of age were included in the study. Pregnant women, severely ill and bedridden elderly people were excluded from the survey. A total of 3180 individuals (1441 men and 1739 women) were interviewed and examined. The study population excluded tea garden workers. The response rate was 80%–90% in the different study areas. The study was conducted during January 2007 to January 2008.

Survey instrument

The participants were interviewed according to a specially designed, pretested questionnaire. Information was collected on sociodemographic variables as well as dietary habits such as history of extra salt intake (used as a side dish), smoking (those who smoked daily were categorized as regular smokers while those who did not were categorized as occasional smokers) and alcohol consumption. A history of hypertension as well as treatment for hypertension and other related diseases was also obtained. The institutional ethics committee cleared this study and prior informed verbal consent of all the participants was obtained.

Anthropometric measurements

The height and body weight of the subjects were measured using a digital balance and a portable stadiometer by two trained technicians after standardization as per the standard protocol. The waist and hip circumferences were measured to the nearest 0.5 cm with the subjects standing barefoot and wearing light clothes. The waist circumference was measured at the level of the umbilicus and the hip circumference at the level of the greater trochanter using a non-stretchable metallic measuring tap. The body mass index (BMI) and waist–hip ratio (WHR) were calculated.⁷

Measurement of blood pressure

A temporary clinic was set up daily for 10–15 houses to avoid a long walk by the subjects. The blood pressure was measured by two doctors using a mercury column sphygmomanometer by a standardized technique in the sitting posture after the subject had rested for at least 15 minutes. It was recorded in the right arm using a cuff of standard size with the instrument at the level of the subject's heart. Three readings were taken at intervals of 10–15 minutes for each subject. Participants who had eaten, smoked or had had alcohol were made to rest for one hour before recording the blood pressure. The average of the three readings was used for data analysis. Whenever a high blood pressure was recorded, the reading was rechecked on the next day in the same manner. The average of the rechecked record was used for analysis. The same team of doctors recorded the blood pressure throughout the study. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg or those on treatment with antihypertensive medication (Sixth Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation and treatment of hypertension; JNC-VI).⁸

Statistical analysis

The blood pressure values for the study sample are presented as mean (standard deviation). The chi-square test was used to detect association between age and hypertension. The crude odds ratio and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using univariate analysis. To study the impact of socioeconomic and demographic factors on the prevalence of hypertension, multiple logistic regression analysis was performed with hypertension status as the dichotomous outcome, and with age, sex, marital status, extra salt intake, alcohol intake, smoking, BMI, WHR, tobacco chewing and type of work as the predictor variables. The data of subjects on antihypertensive medication at screening were excluded from the logistic regression analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using the Epi Info 6 and SPSS version 11.0 software. A p value <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

The majority of subjects (67.5%; n=3180) had received primary education or more. While 78.8% of them were married, 13.9% were either widows or widowers and 26.6% were farmers. The habit of consuming extra salt as a side dish was present in 54.2% of the subjects. Of the subjects, 36.4% consumed alcohol and 12.5% were smokers; 37.1% were underweight (BMI <18.5), 6% were overweight (BMI: 25–29.9) and only 0.9% were obese (BMI >30). The WHR was <0.9 in 60.8% of the subjects.

Mean blood pressure level

The age-adjusted mean SBP was 135.5 mmHg (95% CI: 134.6–136.5) in men and 137.1 mmHg (95% CI: 136.0–138.1) in women, and this difference was significant (p=0.03). The age-adjusted mean DBP was 83.6 mmHg (95% CI: 83.1–84.1) in men and 83.6 mmHg (95% CI: 83.0–84.1) in women, and this difference was not significant (p=0.97; Table I).

Table I. Mean blood pressure levels according to age group and gender

Age group (years)	Sex	n	Systolic	Diastolic
30–39	Men	500	127.4 (12.5)	80.4 (8.0)
	Women	771	127.3 (16.1)	79.6 (8.9)
40–49	Men	332	132.8 (18.3)	83.2 (10.6)
	Women	405	135.3 (20.0)	83.5 (10.9)
50–59	Men	267	136.0 (18.2)	84.0 (9.6)
	Women	269	138.8 (21.2)	84.3 (10.4)
60	Men	342	146.0 (23.9)	86.7 (12.0)
	Women	294	146.8 (26.2)	86.8 (12.2)
All age groups	Men	1441	134.6 (19.4)	83.2 (10.2)
	Women	1739	134.2 (21.1)	82.5 (10.7)

Prevalence of hypertension

The overall prevalence of hypertension in the subjects was 33.3% (95% CI: 31.3–34.7); 33.2% in men and 33.4% in women. There was a significant increase in the prevalence of hypertension with increasing age in both sexes. The categorization of subjects according to the JNC-VI criteria is shown in Table II.

Table II. Categorization of subjects according to the JNC-VI criteria

JNC-VI category (BP in mmHg)	Men (n=1441)	Women (n=1739)	Total (n=3180)
Normotensives			
Optimal (SBP <120 and DBP <80)	158 (10.9)	251 (14.4)	409 (12.9)
Normal (SBP <120–129 and DBP <80–84)	357 (24.8)	434 (25)	791 (24.9)
Total	515 (35.7)	685 (39.4)	1200 (37.8)
High-normal blood pressure (SBP 130–139 or DBP 85–89) Hypertensives	448 (31.1)	474 (27.3)	922 (29)
Controlled (on antihypertensives and BP<140/90)	17 (1.2)	24 (1.4)	41 (1.3)
Stage 1 (SBP 140–159 and DBP 90–99)	232 (16.1)	275 (15.8)	507 (15.9)
Stage 2 (SBP 160–179 and DBP 100–109)	159 (11)	185 (10.6)	344 (10.8)
Stage 3 (SBP ≥180 or DBP ≥110)	70 (4.9)	96 (5.5)	166 (5.2)
Total	478 (33.2)	580 (33.4)	1058 (33.3)

Values in parentheses indicate percentages SBP systolic blood pressure DBP diastolic blood pressure.

When SBP and DBP fell into different categories, the higher category was selected to classify the individual's blood pressure status

Awareness, treatment and control of hypertension

Two hundred and twenty-nine of the hypertensive subjects (21.6%) were aware that they had hypertension and a majority of them (21.4%) were under treatment. Of those undergoing treatment, the blood pressure was adequately controlled in only 18.1% as per the JNC-VI recommendations. On the other hand, 50.4% of the subjects on treatment had uncontrolled and severe hypertension (BP >180/110 mmHg; Table III).

Table III. Status of hypertension control among subjects who know they had hypertension.

Hypertensives	Men (n=478)	Women (n=580)	Total (n=1058)
Aware	109 (22.8)	120 (20.7)	229 (21.6)
On treatment	107 (22.4)	119 (20.5)	226 (21.4)
Controlled	17 (15.9)	24 (20.2)	41 (18.1)
Uncontrolled and severe*	64 (28.3)	50 (22.1)	114 (50.4)

* Blood pressure >180/110 mmHg

Risk factors for hypertension

On simple logistic regression analysis, the factors associated with an increase in the risk of hypertension were increasing age, marital status (living without spouse), sedentary type of work, extra salt intake, regular smoking, height >163.13 cm, weight >55 kg, BMI >25 and WHR >0.9. However, in both men and women, a BMI <18.5 was a protective factor (Table IV).

In the multivariate model derived by multiple logistic regression analysis with backward elimination of non-significant factors, the significant determinants of hypertension were age, sex, extra salt intake, BMI and WHR (Table V). Gender-specific analysis showed that heavy drinking (adjusted OR: 1.62; 95% CI: 1.21–2.19) increased the risk of hypertension in men.

Table IV. Risk factors for hypertension in the native rural population of Maharashtra (unadjusted odds ratio estimated by unconditional logistic regression analysis)*

Variable	Hypertensives† (n=832)	Normotensives† (n=2122)	Odds ratio	95% CI	p value
Age (years)					
30–39	215 (25.8)	1029 (48.5)	1	Reference	
40–49	199 (23.9)	488 (23.0)	1.95	1.56–2.43	<0.0001
50–59	163 (19.6)	317 (14.9)	2.46	1.94–3.13	<0.0001
≥60	255 (30.7)	288 (13.6)	4.24	3.39–5.30	<0.0001
Gender					
Men	371 (44.6)	963 (45.4)	1	Reference	
Women	461 (55.4)	1159 (54.6)	1.03	0.88–1.21	0.69

Literacy					
Illiterate	300 (36.1)	674 (31.8)	1	Reference	
Primary to higher secondary education	505 (60.7)	1365 (64.3)	0.83	0.70–0.99	0.03
Graduate and above	27 (3.2)	83 (3.9)	0.73	0.46–1.15	0.17
Marital status					
Married	625 (75.1)	1718 (81.0)	1	Reference	
Unmarried	41 (4.9)	186 (8.8)	0.61	0.43–0.86	0.005
Widow/ widower	166 (20.0)	218 (10.3)	2.09	1.68–2.61	<0.0001
Occupation					
Service	75 (9.0)	182 (8.6)	1	Reference	
Business	21 (2.5)	72 (3.4)	0.71	0.41–1.23	0.227
Cultivator	211 (25.4)	590 (27.8)	0.87	0.64–1.19	0.37
Daily wager	41 (4.9)	106 (5.0)	0.94	0.60–1.47	0.78
Unemployed	41 (4.9)	93 (4.4)	1.07	0.68–1.69	0.77
Others	443 (53.3)	1079 (50.8)	1.00	0.74–1.33	0.98
Type of work					
Active	539 (64.8)	1420 (66.9)	1	Reference	
Sedentary	127 (15.3)	217 (10.2)	1.54	1.21–1.96	0.0004
Heavy	166 (20.0)	485 (22.9)	0.9	0.74–1.10	0.32
Extra salt intake					
No extra intake	335 (40.3)	1012 (47.7)	1	Reference	
One-fourth teaspoon	417 (50.1)	953 (44.9)	1.32	1.12–1.57	0.0012
>0.5 teaspoon	80 (9.6)	157 (7.4)	1.54	1.44–2.07	0.004
Alcohol consumption					
Nil	503 (60.5)	1366 (64.4)	1	Reference	
200–600 ml	169 (20.3)	401 (18.9)	1.14	0.93–1.41	0.2
>600 ml	160 (19.2)	355 (16.7)	1.22	0.99–1.51	0.06
Smoking					
Non - smokers	701 (84.3)	1877 (88.4)	1	Reference	
Regular	100 (12.0)	167 (7.9)	1.6	1.23–2.08	0.0004
Occasional	31 (3.7)	78 (3.7)	1.06	0.70–1.63	0.77

Duration of smoking (years)					
Nil	701 (84.3)	1877 (88.4)	1	Reference	
<5	14 (1.7)	37 (1.7)	1.01	0.54–1.89	0.97
³ 5	117 (14.1)	208 (9.8)	1.51	1.18–1.92	0.0009
Tobacco chewing [‡]					
Nil	456 (54.8)	1213 (57.2)	1	Reference	
Daily	361 (43.4)	872 (41.1)	1.10	0.94–1.30	0.24
Occasional	15 (1.8)	37 (1.7)	1.08	0.59–1.98	0.80
Body mass index (BMI)					
<20	434 (52.2)	1298 (61.2)	1	Reference	
20–24.9	313 (37.6)	731 (34.4)	1.28	1.08–1.52	0.005
³ 25	85 (10.2)	93 (4.4)	2.73	1.20–3.74	<0.0001
Waist–hip ratio (truncal obesity)					
<0.90	553 (66.5)	1683 (79.3)	1	Reference	
³ 0.90	279 (33.5)	439 (20.7)	1.93	1.62–2.31	<0.0001
Height (cm)					
£149.5	228 (27.4)	514 (24.2)	1	Reference	
149.51– 155.99	214 (25.7)	513 (24.2)	0.94	0.75–1.18	0.58
156.0– 163.12	208 (25.0)	539 (25.4)	0.87	0.70–1.09	0.22
³ 163.13	182 (21.9)	556 (26.2)	0.74	0.59–0.93	0.0092
Weight (kg)					
<41.5	210 (25.2)	537 (25.3)	1	Reference	
41.5–47.9	181 (21.8)	561 (26.4)	0.83	0.65–1.04	0.10
48.0–54.9	188 (22.6)	534 (25.2)	0.90	0.72–1.33	0.37
³ 55.0	253 (30.4)	490 (23.1)	1.32	1.06–1.65	0.03

* Excludes those persons on antihypertensive medication at screening (n = 226) † as per JNC-VI criteria; normotensives include those with high-normal blood pressure
[‡] in the form of khaini, zarda pan, with betel nut CI confidence intervals

Table V. Risk factors for hypertension in the native rural population of Maharashtra*

Determinants	Overall		Men		Women	
	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	p value	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	p value	Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)	p value
Age (in years)						
30–39	1 (Reference)	-	1 (Reference)	-	1 (Reference)	-
40–49	1.94 (1.54–2.43)	<0.001	1.57 (1.09–2.25)	0.014	2.26 (1.68–3.04)	<0.001
50–59	2.62 (2.04–3.37)	<0.001	2.54 (1.76–3.69)	<0.001	2.71 (1.92–3.81)	<0.001
≥60	5.02 (3.93–6.42)	<0.001	5.16 (3.57–7.45)	<0.001	4.97 (3.54–6.97)	<0.001
Gender						
Men	1 (Reference)	-	-	-	-	-
Women	1.37 (1.11–1.70)	0.004	-	-	-	-
Type of work						
Active	1 (Reference)	-	1 (Reference)	-	1 (Reference)	-
Heavy	0.83 (0.64–1.07)	0.146	0.84 (0.63–1.13)	0.248	1.01 (0.51–1.99)	0.978
Sedentary	1.13 (0.86–1.48)	0.381	1.29 (0.89–1.87)	0.181	0.99 (0.68–1.49)	0.962
Extra salt intake						
None	1 (Reference)	-	1 (Reference)	-	1 (Reference)	-
One–fourth teaspoon or more	1.45 (1.21–1.73)	<0.001	1.36 (1.05–1.77)	0.022	1.51 (1.19–1.92)	0.001
Alcohol						
Nil	1 (Reference)	0.233	1 (Reference)	-	1 (Reference)	-
200–600 ml	1.15 (0.92–1.43)	0.003	0.91 (0.62–1.32)	0.608	1.3 (0.98–1.72)	0.067
>600 ml	1.44 (1.13–1.84)	-	1.62 (1.21–2.19)	0.001	0.92 (0.56–1.50)	0.73

Body mass index							
Normal (18.5–24.9)	1 (Reference)	-	1 (Reference)	-	1 (Reference)	–	
Underweight (<18.5)	0.65 (0.53–0.78)	<0.001	0.54 (0.39–0.74)	<0.001	0.71 (0.55–0.91)	0.007	
Overweight (25–29.9)	1.95 (1.37–2.78)	<0.001	1.84 (1.08–3.11)	0.024	2.06 (1.28–3.32)	0.003	
Obese (>30)	3.10 (1.17–8.22)	0.023	4.04 (0.64–25.39)	0.137	2.66 (0.84–8.49)	0.09	
Waist–hip ratio							
<0.90	1 (Reference)	-	1 (Reference)	-	1 (Reference)	-	
≥0.90	1.54 (1.25–1.90)	<0.001	1.48 (1.12–1.97)	0.006	1.64 (1.18–2.28)	0.003	

*Reduced multivariate models derived by multiple logistic regression analysis with backward elimination of non-significant determinants (cut-off p=0.10). Excludes cases on antihypertensive medication at screening CI confidence intervals

DISCUSSION

Our study reveals that hypertension is prevalent in one-third of the rural inhabitants of Maharashtra. Studies conducted elsewhere in India among the rural population showed a lower prevalence (14%).⁹ Perhaps changes in traditional dietary habits and lifestyle patterns have made them prone to hypertension. Besides other factors, extra salt intake (as a side dish, a habit among the rural people of this area) may have a role to play in the high prevalence of hypertension. Though agriculture is the primary occupation of the rural inhabitants of Maharashtra, a sizeable number of people are in service, business, etc., which has given rise to sedentary habits. The above-mentioned factors were significant determinants of hypertension in the subjects.

Among the subjects, 29% had high-normal blood pressure while 12.9% and 24.9% had optimal and normal blood pressure levels (JNC-VI classification), respectively. Men and women with a high-normal blood pressure have a higher incidence of cardiovascular disease on follow up than those with optimal blood pressure.¹⁰ The elevated mean SBP (>146 mmHg) in elderly (>60 years of age) men and women is of public health concern. Uncontrolled hypertension in the elderly leads to target organ damage, especially stroke.¹¹ This age group needs more attention as the prevalence of hypertension among them was 54.7%. An increase in the prevalence of hypertension with ageing has been observed in earlier studies also.¹² BMI and central obesity are two important risk factors for hypertension and cardiovascular disease.¹³ Hypertension in our study population was also associated with increased values of BMI and WHR. This is contrary to the findings of our earlier study on hypertension among tea garden workers, where a high prevalence of hypertension was detected in a non-obese population.¹⁴ In fact, in our study population BMI <18.5 (underweight) was found to be a protective factor. Extra salt intake, in both sexes, was associated with hypertension. Dietary salt intake is itself an important factor in raising blood pressure.¹⁵ In our study, smoking was found to increase the risk of hypertension only on simple logistic regression analysis. However, after adjustment, smoking was not found to be a

significant factor. Both direct and inverse relationships between smoking and increased blood pressure have been documented in earlier studies.^{16,17} The adverse effects of smoking may add to major cardiovascular damage. A positive relationship between the use of smokeless tobacco and blood pressure in men has been reported previously.¹⁸ Heavy alcohol intake in men was found to be associated with hypertension. Alcohol was found to be a significant determinant of hypertension in earlier reports¹⁹ including our study on agricultural workers.¹⁴ However, in this study, consumption of alcohol in women did not seem to increase the risk of hypertension possibly because very few women were heavy drinkers (1.9%) compared to men (20%). Heavy work was found to be a protective factor for men, although this association did not reach statistical significance. Physically active people are less likely to develop hypertension and those with hypertension may lower their blood pressure by regular isotonic exercises.²⁰ The prevalence of hypertension was found to be 33.3% in the subjects and was found to increase with age. This is in conformity with other studies conducted in different parts of the world.²¹

One of the limitations of our study was that it had a cross-sectional design. Also, the blood pressure was recorded at only one point to assess the hypertensive status of the participants.

In our study sample, 21.6% of those with hypertension were aware of their disease and most were on treatment. However, in only 18.1% of them was the blood pressure adequately controlled (SBP <140 mmHg and DBP <90 mmHg). Therefore, for effectively combating the burden of hypertension in this population, education and awareness about hypertension needs to be given priority.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is indebted to technical assistance received from Shri Bhausaheb Hire Government Medical College Dhule (Maharashtra) India from department of Public Health.

DECLARATIONS

Funding: No funding sources

Conflict of interest any declared : None.

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

REFERENCES

1. Reddy KS. Hypertension control in developing countries: Generic issues. *J Hum Hypertens* 1996;10 (Suppl 1):S33–S38.
2. Shapo L, Pomerleau J, McKee M. Epidemiology of hypertension and associated cardiovascular risk factors in a country in transition: A population based survey in Tirana city, Albania. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2003;57:734–9.
3. Rigaud AS, Seux ML, Staessen JA, Birkenhager WH, Forette F. Cerebral complications of hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens* 2000;14:605–16.
4. Shanthirani CS, Pradeepa R, Deepa R, Premalatha G, Saroja R, Mohan V. Prevalence and risk factors of hypertension in a selected South Indian population—the Chennai Urban Population Study. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2003;51:20–7.
5. Malhotra P, Kumari S, Kumar R, Jain S, Sharma BK. Prevalence and determinants of hypertension in an un-industrialised rural population of North India. *J Hum Hypertens* 1999;13:467–72.
6. Sen S. *Tribes and castes of Maharashtra*. Guwahati:Gyan Publishing House; 1999:121–71.
7. Rose GA, Blackburn H, Gillum RF, Prineas RJ. *Cardiovascular survey methods*. Geneva:World Health Organization; 1982:68–70.

8. Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation and treatment of high blood pressure. The sixth report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure. JNC- VI. *Arch Intern Med* 1997;157:2413–46.
9. Yajnik CS. The lifecycle effects of nutrition and body size on adult adiposity, diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *Obes Rev* 2002;3:217–24.
10. Vasan RS, Larson MG, Leip EP, Evans JC, O' Donnell CJ, Kennel WB, et al. Impact of high-normal blood pressure on the risk of cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:1291–7.
11. Singh RB, Suh IL, Singh VP, Chaitharaphan S, Laothavorn P, Sy RG, et al. Hypertension and stroke in Asia: Prevalence, control and strategies in developing countries for prevention. *J Hum Hypertens* 2000;14:749–63.
12. Hypertension Study Group. Prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension among the elderly in Bangladesh and India: A multicentre study. *Bull World Health Organ* 2001;79:490–500.
13. Mufunda J, Scott LJ, Chifamba J, Matenga J, Sparks B, Cooper R, et al. Correlates of blood pressure in an urban Zimbabwean population and comparison to other populations of African origin. *J Hum Hypertens* 2000;14:65–73.
14. Hazarika NC, Biswas D, Narain K, Kalita HC, Mahanta J. Hypertension and its risk factors in tea garden workers of Maharashtra. *Natl Med J India* 2002;15:63–8.
15. Perry IJ, Beevers DE. Salt intake and stroke: A possible direct effect. *J Hum Hypertens* 1992;6:23–5.
16. Poulsen PL, Ebbelohj E, Hassen KW, Mogensen CE. Effects of smoking on 24-h ambulatory blood pressure and autonomic function in normalbuminuric insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus patients. *Am J Hypertens* 1998;11:1093–9.
17. Mikkelsen KL, Wiinberg N, Hoegholm A, Christensen HR, Bang LE, Nielsen PE, et al. Smoking related to 24-h ambulatory blood pressure and heart rate: A study in 352 normotensive Danish subjects. *Am J Hypertens* 1997;10 (5 Pt 1):483–91.
18. Bolinder GM, Ahlborg BO, Lindell JH. Use of smokeless tobacco: Blood pressure elevation and other health hazards found in a large-scale population survey. *J Intern Med* 1992;232:327–34.
19. Grassi GM, Somers VK, Renk WS, Abboud FM, Mark AL. Effects of alcohol intake on blood pressure and sympathetic nerve activity in normotensive humans: A preliminary report. *J Hypertension* 1989;7 (Suppl 6):S20–S21.
20. Blumenthal JA, Sherwood A, Gullette EC, Babyak M, Waugh R, Georgiades A, et al. Exercise and weight loss reduce blood pressure in men and women with mild hypertension: Effects on cardiovascular, metabolic, and hemodynamic functioning. *Arch Intern Med* 2000;160:1947–58.
21. Lane D, Beevers DG, Lip GY. Ethnic differences in blood pressure and the prevalence of hypertension in England. *J Hum Hypertens* 2002;16:267–73.